UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,011	10/31/2003	William D. Holland	10014648-1	1436
	7590 05/17/201 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration			KRASNIC, BERNARD	
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/699,011	HOLLAND, WILLIAM D.		
=nannner muatea mervien Cammar,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624		
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_		
(1) <u>BERNARD KRASNIC (Examiner)</u> .	(3)			
(2) Mr. David Collins (Reg. No. 26,857).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 30 April 2010	Time: <u>2:35pm</u>			
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
/Bhavesh M Mehta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 /Bernard Krasnic/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the expiration of the time period [two months] for further appeal of the Boards decision by the Appellant, the Examiner initiated a telephonic interiew with the Appellant's representative, Mr. Collins (Reg. No. 26,857), to offer an Examiner's Amendment to rewrite the dependent claims 34, 35 and 38 [in which the Board reversed the Examiner] in independent form. The Appellant preferred to receive the Office Action giving them a ONE MONTH TIME PERIOD from the mailing date of this letter in which to present the dependent claim(s) in independent form to avoid ABANDONMENT of the application [NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE GRANTED].